

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/082,204	02/26/2002	Yuki Yamamoto	1619.1018	5091
21171	7590 10/03/2006		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			DOAN, DUYEN MY	
-	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		2152	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/082,204	YAMAMOTO, YUKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Duyen M. Doan	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	June 2006.				
· _ · ·	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	, —				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2002 is/a		objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.			
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachment(s)	A) [7] 1=4==-4	(DTO 443)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application			
	ـــــ	 -			

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/16/06 has been entered. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination. Claim 26 is newly added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 11, 13-19, 24,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takakura et al (us 2002/0007396) (hereinafter Takakura) in view of Tsou et al (us 2002/0184089) (hereinafter Tsou).

Art Unit: 2152

As regarding claim 1, Takakura discloses managing access to area chat rooms each associated with a specific location (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118); accepting an entrance request, with a current location of the terminal or a user-specified location attached, from said terminal for permission to enter one of said area chat rooms, and allowing entrance into any appropriate area chat room whose area includes said current location or specified location (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118); accepting a remark request from said terminal for permission to make a remark, and delivering the remark, in form of text data, to the terminals of the other participants of said area chat room (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118, Takakura discloses that it is also possible to use a character chat as well for having a dialogue in text data).

Takakura does not implicitly disclose the remark with current location of the terminal attached; accepting location update information from said terminal and delivering said location update information to the terminals of the other participants of said area chat room.

Tsou teaches the remark with current location of the terminal attached (see pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047); accepting location update information from said terminal and delivering said location update information to the terminals of the other participants of said area chat room (see Tsou, pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047).

Art Unit: 2152

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the remark with current location of the terminal attached and the delivering the current location to other participants of the chat system of Tsou to the method of Takakura, because it would reduce the processing resources and the communication time.

As regarding claim 2, Takakura-Tsou discloses accepting any inquiry about the current location of another participant in the area chat room from said terminal, acquiring the current location from the terminal of said other participant if the terminal of said other participant permits sending a reply, and sending the current location of said other participant in return (see Tsou, pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047). The same motivation was utilized in claim 1 applied equally well to claim 2.

As regarding claim 3, Takakura-Tsou discloses creating an area chat room by specifying a range based on the current location of said terminal or a user-specified location if a request to create an area chat room is received from said terminal (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118, generating plurality of chat room, the chat room of the user is change according to the current location of user, therefore the user can always participate in the chat room corresponding to the current location of the self).

As regarding claim 4, Takakura-Tsou discloses registering and managing information about any subscriber who wants to be notified if an area chat room whose area includes the current location of the terminal or a user-specified location is created;

Art Unit: 2152

and notifying said subscriber's terminal about creation of any area chat room which agrees with the information about said subscriber. (Tsou, pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047). The same motivation was utilized in claim 1 applied equally well to claim 4.

As regarding claim 5, Takakura discloses sending a server of said system an entrance request with the current location of a terminal or a user-specified location attached, requesting for permission to enter an area chat room provided by said system and associated with a specific location (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118); sending the server of said system a remark request, when the user is in said area chat room; receiving the current locations and remarks, in form of text data, of all the participants as well as map data corresponding to the range of said area chat room from said system and displaying said map data, including charted locations of the all participants, and remarks of the participants on a display screen of said terminal when the user is in said area chat room (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118).

Takakura does not explicitly disclose the current location of said terminal attached and informing the server of said system about the current location of said terminal at designated intervals or on designated occasions.

Tsou teaches the remark with the current location of said terminal attached and informing the server of said system about the current location of said terminal at

Art Unit: 2152

designated intervals or on designated occasions (Tsou, pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the remark with current location of the terminal attached and the delivering the current location to other participants of the chat system of Tsou to the method of Takakura, because it would reduce the processing resources and the communication time.

As regarding claim 6, Takakura-Tsou discloses entrance request contains direction whether or not the current location attached to said entrance request is to be shown to other participants (Tsou, pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047). The same motivation was utilized in claim 1 applied equally well to claim 6.

As regarding claim 11, Takakura-Tsou discloses sending said system a room creation request to create an area chat room with its range specified based on the current location of said terminal or a user-specified location (Tsou, pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047). The same motivation was utilized in claim 1 applied equally well to claim 11.

As regarding claim 13, the limitations are similar to claim 1, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 1.

As regarding claim 14, the limitations are similar to claim 1, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 1.

As regarding claim 15, the limitations are similar to claim 2, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 2.

Art Unit: 2152

As regarding claim 16, the limitations are similar to claim 3, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 3.

As regarding claim 17, the limitations are similar to claim 4, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 4.

As regarding claim 18, the limitations are similar to claim 5, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 5.

As regarding claim 19, the limitations are similar to claim 6, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 6.

As regarding claim 24, the limitations are similar to claim 11, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 11.

As regarding claim 26, Tsou discloses accepting an entrance request, with a current location of a terminal or a user-specified location attached, from said terminal requesting permission to enter one of said area chat rooms (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118), and allowing entrance into an appropriate area chat room (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118); delivering a permitted remark from said terminal, in the form of text data, to terminals of other participants of said area chat room (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118).

Takakura does not implicitly disclose the remark with current location of the terminal attached; accepting location update information from said terminal and

delivering said location update information to the terminals of the other participants of said area chat room.

Tsou teaches the remark with current location of the terminal attached (see pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047); accepting location update information from said terminal and delivering said location update information to the terminals of the other participants of said area chat room (see Tsou, pg.2, par 0013, 0018; pg.4, par 0042-0044; pg.5, par 0045-0047).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the remark with current location of the terminal attached and the delivering the current location to other participants of the chat system of Tsou to the method of Takakura, because it would reduce the processing resources and the communication time.

Claims 7-10, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takakura and Tsou as applied to claim 5 above, further in view of Kelts (us 20020112237).

As regarding claims 7, 20 Takakura-Tsou discloses all the limitations of claim 5, but fail to disclose the charted location of the latest speaker is indicated by a shape, color, or method different from those for other speakers when charting the locations of the participants who are in said area chat room. Kelts teaches the charted location of the latest speaker is indicated by a shape, color, or method different from those for other speakers when charting the locations of the participants who are in said area chat

room (pg.8, paragraph 88, different colored map items may represent different types of programming genres, different programming characteristics... one or more of the following map item icon characteristics may be varied in a dynamic manner: shape, size, color, design, orientation, text label...).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kelts with the method of Takakura-Tsou to have different participants represent in different shapes and colors for the purpose of convey useful information to the user in an easy to interpret manner (see Kelts pg.8, paragraph 88).

As regarding claims 8, 21 the limitations are similar to claim 7, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 7.

As regarding claims 9, 22 the limitations are similar to claim 7, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 7.

As regarding claims 10, 23 Takakura-Tsou-Kelts disclose after accepting a remark location search request, calculating the distance between the location at the time of said remark and the current location of the terminal and displaying it on the display of said terminal (see Takakura pg.2-3, par 21, 37-40, 49-50; pg.4, par 56-59, 64-66; pg.6-7, par 88, 94-97, pg.8, par 115, 118).

Claims 12, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takakura and Tsou as applied to claim 5, 18 above, further in view of Hatlelid (us pat 6,772,195) (hereinafter Hatlelid).

As regarding claim 12, Takakura-Tsou discloses all the limitations of claim 5 and 11 above, but fail to disclose, room creation request contains information to limit the participants who are allowed in the area chat room created. Hatlelid teaches room creation request contains information to limit the participants who are allowed in the area chat room created (col.7, lines 1-18).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hatlelid with the method of Takakura-Tsou to have room creation request contains information to limit the participants who are allowed in the area chat room created for the purpose of restricting other participants from participate in private chat room (see Hatlelid col.7, lines 1-18).

As regarding claim 25, the limitations are similar to claim 12, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 12.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,204 Page 11

Art Unit: 2152

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,204 Page 12

Art Unit: 2152

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duyen M. Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4226. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob A. Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner Duyen Doan Art unit 2152

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT